1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3	
4	June 27, 2016 - 10:15 a.m.
5	Concord, New Hampshire MHPUC JUL15'16 PM 4 26
6	
7	RE: DW 16-123 AQUARION WATER COMPANY OF
8	NEW HAMPSHIRE: Petition for Monthly Billing.
9	
10	PRESENT: Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding Commissioner Robert R. Scott
11	Commissioner Kathryn M. Bailey
12	Sandy Deno, Clerk
13	
14	APPEARANCES: Reptg. Aquarion Water Company of
15	New Hampshire: Marcia A. Brown, Esq. (Rath Young)
16	Reptg. the Town of Hampton, N.H.:
17	Mark S. Gearrald, Esq.
18	Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: Nicholas Cicale, Esq.
19	Office of Consumer Advocate
20	Reptg. PUC Staff: John S. Clifford, Esq., Esq.
21	Mark A. Naylor, Dir./Gas & Water Div. Rorie E. Patterson, CS&EA Division
22	
23	Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
24	



1	
2	INDEX
3	PAGE NO.
4	WITNESS PANEL: TROY DIXON
5	MARK A. NAYLOR RORIE E. PATTERSON
6	Direct examination by Ms. Brown 7, 22, 32
7	(Witness Dixon)
8	Cross-examination by Mr. Clifford 15 (Witness Dixon)
9	Direct examination by Mr. Clifford 19, 21, 36 (Witness Naylor & Witness Patterson)
10	
11	Cross-examination by Ms. Brown 29, 34 (Witness Naylor & Witness Patterson)
12	Cross-examination by Mr. Gearrald 37
13	Cross-examination by Mr. Cicale 49
14	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Scott 50
15	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Bailey 57
16	Redirect examination by Ms. Brown 61 (Witness Dixon)
17	
18	Redirect/Recross-examination by Mr. Clifford 65 (Witness Dixon)
19	* * *
20	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY: PAGE NO.
21	Mr. Gearrald 66
22	Mr. Cicale 67
23	Mr. Clifford 68
24	Ms. Brown 69

{DW 16-123} {06-27-16}

1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION PA	GE NO.
4	1	Petition for Monthly Billing	6
5	2	Direct Testimony of Debra Kirven	6
6	3	Attachments to Direct Testimony of Debra Kirven	6
7 8	4	Direct Testimony of Frederick W. Welch, including attachments	6
9	5	Direct Testimony of Mark A. Naylor, including attachments	6
10	6	Direct Testimony of Amanda O. Noonan	6
12	7	Settlement Agreement (06-14-16)	
13	8	Tariff Pages - Fourth Revised Pages 12, 13, and 14	6
15	9	Sample Billing	
16	10	Company response to Data Request Staff 1-4	63
17		Nequest Stall 1-4	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

PROCEEDING

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Good morning, everyone. We're here in Docket 16-123, which is a Petition by Aquarion for monthly billing, and there's a lot of other things associated with it.

Before we go any further, let's take appearances.

MS. BROWN: Sorry, forgot to stand up, because I thought I was on the other side of the room. Marcia Brown, representing Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire. And with me is Deb Kirven, McKinley Rowe, and Troy Dixon is already at the witness stand, and Carl McMorran. Thank you very much.

MR. CLIFFORD: John Clifford, on behalf of the Commission Staff. And with me at the table is Mark Naylor, Director of the Commission's Gas and Water Division, and Rorie Patterson, Assistant Director, Consumer Services and Regulatory Affairs — excuse me — and External Affairs with the Commission.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Who else do we have?

MR. CICALE: Nicholas Cicale, for the Office of Consumer Advocate, on behalf of residential ratepayers. Good morning, Commissioners.

MR. GEARRALD: Good morning. I'm

Mark Gearrald. I'm the Town Attorney for the

Town of Hampton. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I know that a Settlement was filed. And I see a panel of witnesses. Are there preliminary matters we need to deal with before we start hearing from the witnesses?

Ms. Brown.

MS. BROWN: Yes. Both Aquarion and Staff have Motions to Substitute Witnesses that we would like you to act on before the --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Granted.

MS. BROWN: -- the hearing. Thank
you. We also, if I can segue into premarked
exhibits, we have provided the Clerk with a
schedule of the exhibits that run through 1
through 9. And, by agreement, the Parties have
assigned those numbers to those proposed
exhibits. And we also have a couple of

1	exhibits that are that weren't filed
2	previously in Docketbook that are before you,
3	and those are Exhibits 8 and 9, and we'll
4	identify those as we go through our witness
5	examinations.
6	MR. CLIFFORD: Right. I don't have
7	anything further. But that we've agreed to
8	we've stipulated to the introduction of nine
9	exhibits today, which you have in front of you.
L 0	(The documents, as noted, were
L1	herewith marked as Exhibit 1
L 2	through Exhibit 9 , respectively,
L3	for identification.)
L 4	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Is
L 5	there anything else from anyone else?
L 6	[No verbal response.]
L 7	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Mr.
L 8	Patnaude.
L 9	(Whereupon Troy Dixon ,
20	Mark A. Naylor, and Rorie E.
21	Patterson were duly sworn by the
22	Court Reporter.)
23	MS. BROWN: Commissioners, we have a
2 4	tag team approach to our examination of the

1 witnesses today. I'm going to start with the 2 background, with Aquarion's witness, Troy 3 Dixon. TROY DIXON, SWORN 4 MARK A. NAYLOR, SWORN 5 6 RORIE E. PATTERSON, SWORN 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. BROWN: 8 If you could please state your name and 9 10 position for the record. 11 (Dixon) My name is Troy Dixon. I'm Director of Α. 12 Rates and Regulation for Aquarion Water Company 13 of Connecticut. 14 And how long have you held that position? 15 (Dixon) I've been in that position for nine Α. 16 years. 17 And how long have you been employed with Q. 18 Aquarion? 19 Α. (Dixon) Thirteen years. 20 Q. And what are your responsibilities presently? 21 (Dixon) I'm responsible for the various 22 regulatory filings and compliances in each of 23 our three regulated utilities, in New

Hampshire, Massachusetts, and Connecticut.

- 1 Q. And what do you consider to be your area of
 2 expertise?
- 3 A. (Dixon) General finance and accounting.
- Q. And the job responsibilities that you just listed off, do you consider those to be within your area of expertise?
- 7 A. (Dixon) Yes.
- 8 Q. Have you previously testified before this 9 Commission?
- 10 A. (Dixon) Yes, I have.
- 11 Q. Has that testimony been within your area of expertise?
- 13 A. (Dixon) Yes.
- 14 Q. And can you please briefly describe your involvement with this docket?
- A. (Dixon) When this Petition was filed, I was
 acting as the Company's Controller. I did
 oversee -- or, rather, review the Petition and
 each of the data requests that was filed. As
 of April 1, I was back in my position as
 Director of Rates and Regulation, and I was
 more closely involved in the docket at that
- 23 point.
- 24 Q. So, you are familiar with the contents of the

1 Petition?

A. (Dixon) I am.

MS. BROWN: And, at that point -- or, at this point, Commissioners, we have the Petition that's already been premarked for identification as "Exhibit 1", and I just wanted to make note of that in the record.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Uh-huh. It's

BY MS. BROWN:

noted.

- Q. Mr. Dixon, can you briefly summarize the relief that is sought in that Petition?
- A. (Dixon) There are essentially five pieces to the Petition. The first of which was to amend our tariff pages so that we could bill on a monthly basis. The second piece was to switch billing our service charges to "in-arrears", as opposed to "in-advance". We were also seeking to bill on a per diem basis. Additionally, we were looking to change our due date on the different bills, from 30 days to 25 days. And, then, finally we were seeking deferral treatment associated with the rate base impact of changing from monthly -- from quarterly to

- 1 monthly, as well as from "in-advance" to
 2 "in-arrears" on the service charges.
- Q. And, with that last relief that you just identified, has that been dropped from the Settlement Agreement?
- 6 A. (Dixon) Yes, it has.
- Q. With respect to Ms. Kirven's testimony, was that attached to the Petition?
- 9 A. (Dixon) Yes, it was.
- 10 Q. And can you describe your involvement with the drafting of Ms. Kirven's testimony?
- 12 (Dixon) I reviewed the testimony. I think, Α. 13 when it was initially developed, it was while I 14 was in the position of Director of Rates and 15 Regulation. And, just for background, I've 16 been in the Director of Rates and Regulation 17 position for nine years. For a nine month 18 period, I transitioned to the Controller 19 position, as a bit of a job training 20 experience. So, that's why I sort of came in 21 and out. So, the filing went out while I was 22 in the Controller's seat. But I did review the 23 filing, as well as all the data requests 24 involved.

- Q. And, aside from any changes that were made to that testimony in discovery, are you aware of any changes or corrections that need to be made to that testimony?
- 5 A. (Dixon) No.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

22

23

24

- Q. And, if you were asked those questions today, would your answers be, I'd say "exact", but aside from the corrections in discovery, would the answers that were in that testimony be responsive to questions if you were asked those today?
- 12 A. (Dixon) Yes.
- 13 Q. Now, were there exhibits attached to
 14 Ms. Kirven's testimony?
- 15 A. (Dixon) There are three exhibits.
- 16 Q. And can you describe those exhibits?
- A. (Dixon) I believe the first two exhibits -- the
 first two exhibits related to the working
 capital change, which we have stated was no
 longer part of the Settlement, and the third
 exhibit was tariffs marked for changes.

MS. BROWN: Okay. Commissioners, for identification, we've marked -- or, marked for identification Ms. Kirven's testimony as

```
1
         "Exhibit 2". I'm sorry, no, it's --
 2
                   MR. CLIFFORD: Yes.
 3
                   MS. BROWN: Yes. "Exhibit 2" for the
         testimony. And the working capital schedules
 4
 5
         for completeness, we have marked those as "3".
         We have not marked at this point the tariff
 6
 7
         pages, because those have been subsequently
         revised. So, those are going to be entered or
 8
         identified as "Exhibit 8".
9
10
                   So, just wanted to make that clear in
11
         the record at this point.
12
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
13
         Scott.
                   CMSR. SCOTT: On your Exhibit List,
14
15
         I'm a little bit confused. You're showing, for
16
         instance, "Exhibit 1" is "1.25.16". So, that's
17
         January 25th, '16?
18
                   MS. BROWN: I believe that is the
19
         date that it was entered into Docketbook.
20
                   CMSR. SCOTT: Okay. Thank you.
21
                   MR. CLIFFORD: Right. I can --
22
         Commission Staff prepared that Exhibit List.
         And those, the dates therein, are the dates
23
24
         that are reflected in the Commission's docket.
```

1 Just it was for ease of reference when I 2 prepared it. 3 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think the confusion is that there's another document in 4 our file, in this case, that has "Exhibit 1" 5 written in the upper right-hand corner. It's 6 7 not a document that is part of this. It's not on your list. It's a different document. So, 8 I think that's the source of the confusion. 9 10 CMSR. SCOTT: Correct. 11 MS. BROWN: Yes. I believe those 12 were from the Town of Hampton. 13 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: That could well 14 be. 15 MS. BROWN: But I'll continue on. Ι 16 just wanted to mark for the transcript where 17 Exhibit 2 and Exhibit 3. 18 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Just before you continue. You've used the phrase "marked for 19 20 identification". I had the sense, the way that 21 you were talking about these exhibits, is 22 there's a stipulation that all of the exhibits

that are being marked are going to be full

exhibits. That there's no objection to any of

23

```
1
         these, is that correct?
                   MR. GEARRALD: That is correct.
 2
 3
                   MS. BROWN: Yes. Uh-huh.
 4
                   MR. CLIFFORD: That is correct.
 5
         There is no objection.
 6
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. So,
 7
         we're just going to -- these are all just --
         they're exhibits, 1 through 9 are all exhibits.
 8
9
                   MR. CLIFFORD: Okay.
10
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You should work
11
         with them as exhibits. And it will be simpler
12
         for the record, it will be simpler for
13
         everybody.
14
                   MS. BROWN: We're happy to have your
15
         assent to that. Thank you.
16
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
17
                   MR. CLIFFORD: Can I just clear up
18
         one thing?
19
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Sure.
20
         Mr. Clifford.
21
                   MR. CLIFFORD: Yes. I did read the
22
         hearing -- the prehearing transcript. And the
23
         reason I think those two documents were entered
24
         into the record, were -- they were attempted to
```

 $\{DW 16-123\} \{06-27-16\}$

```
1
         be introduced as exhibits, but they were not
 2
         accepted as exhibits at an earlier hearing.
 3
         So, they are not part of the record, which were
         the 1 and 2 you referred to earlier.
 4
 5
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Let's go off the
 6
         record for a minute.
 7
                         [Brief off-the-record discussion
                         ensued.]
 8
9
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
10
         Let's go back on the record. Who's next?
11
                   MR. CLIFFORD: Okay.
12
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Clifford.
13
                   MR. CLIFFORD: I would just ask a
14
         couple of questions of Mr. Dixon.
15
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And,
16
         Mr. Clifford, you could stay seated.
17
         microphone will pick you up better if you stay
18
         seated.
19
                   MR. CLIFFORD: Okay. Fine.
20
    BY MR. CLIFFORD:
21
         You've stated and you say you're generally
22
         familiar with the Petition and Ms. Kirven's
23
         testimony, is that true?
24
    Α.
         (Dixon) That's true, yes.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Q.
     And can you just tell us briefly, in your
     estimation, how consumers might benefit from
     this change in monthly billing, just so we have
     that on the record?
     (Dixon) I think there are several listed in the
     Petition. But I think the two key ones that
     come to mind are in terms of the price signals,
     in terms of conservation. We think that
     billing on a monthly basis, as opposed to a
     quarterly basis, will send price signals that
     will allow people to conserve water and see the
     impacts within their bills.
          Additionally, in terms of leak detection,
     billing on a monthly basis will allow us to
     pick up on leaks quicker and allow for less
     water loss in the system.
               MR. CLIFFORD: Okay. Thank you.
               WITNESS DIXON: Sure.
```

MR. CLIFFORD: I have no further questions of this witness.

MR. CICALE: The OCA has no questions for the witnesses.

MR. GEARRALD: I have got some questions.

1	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Hang on one
2	second. I think we need to get the other
3	witnesses' testimony in.
4	MS. BROWN: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And, then, we'll
6	start cross, to the extent there is "cross", in
7	a docket where all four parties are on the
8	Settlement.
9	MR. CLIFFORD: Right.
L 0	MS. BROWN: At this point, I
L 1	wasn't I didn't think I had concluded my
L 2	direct. I thought, because I had gone through
L3	my background,
L 4	MR. CLIFFORD: Right.
L 5	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
L 6	MS. BROWN: that Attorney Clifford
L 7	would go through the background of his
L 8	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yes. Mr.
L 9	Clifford, why don't you do that, just to smooth
20	things out a little bit.
21	MR. CLIFFORD: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Why don't you
23	get your testimony in the record from your
2 4	Witnesses

1	MR. CLIFFORD: Okay. Perfect.
2	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Then, I don't
3	know, Ms who's going to ask questions
4	let's find out right now.
5	MS. BROWN: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: What order are
7	we going to go after that? So, once,
8	Ms. Brown, are we going to circle back to you
9	for questions?
10	MR. CLIFFORD: Okay.
11	MS. BROWN: Uh-huh.
12	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And then Mr.
13	Clifford I mean, Mr. Gearrald, and then
14	Mr. Cicale, and then back to Mr. Clifford? You
15	tell me.
16	Let's go off the record. Let's have
17	the discussion off the record, so we don't
18	clutter it up.
19	[Brief off-the-record discussion
20	ensued.]
21	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So, we're back
22	on the record?
23	MR. CLIFFORD: We're back on the
24	record. Our witnesses have all been sworn in.

- 1 So, I'm going to call Mr. Naylor.
- 2 BY MR. CLIFFORD:

- 3 Q. Can you state your name please for the record.
- 4 A. (Naylor) Yes. Mark Naylor.
- Q. And briefly give us your educational
 qualifications and position with the
 Commission.
- A. (Naylor) I'm the Director of the Commission's

 Gas and Water Division, and the Director here

 since 1998. And have been employed by this

 Commission for over 25 years now. I have a

 Master's degree in Accounting, and consider

 myself an accountant and finance guru, if you

 will.
- Q. And can you briefly state your role in this particular case?
- 17 A. (Naylor) I participated in the review of the

 18 Company's filing, and, along with my staff, we

 19 have also generated discovery requests and

 20 participated with the Parties in development of

 21 the Settlement Agreement that we are presenting

 22 today.
 - Q. And you filed some testimony in this case, did you not?

- 1 A. (Naylor) I did.
- Q. And is that your -- we have marked as number

 "5", "Direct Testimony of Mark Naylor". You

 drafted that testimony?
- 5 A. (Naylor) Yes, I did.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 6 Q. Can you briefly summarize what that testimony
 7 says in this case?
 - A. (Naylor) My testimony indicated Staff's support for the Company's requests, in terms of monthly billing, in terms of moving to service charges being billed in arrears, instead of in advance, and supportive of the due date being moved up by five days, from 30 to 25 days.

We did not support the Company's request for deferral of working capital, change in working capital which results from the changes in the billing. And, so, that was the only piece of the Company's requests that we opposed.

- Q. And did you adopt that testimony as filed? Do you adopt that testimony?
- 22 A. (Naylor) Yes, I do.
- Q. Any changes you wish to make to that testimony as you sit here today?

- 1 A. (Naylor) No.
- MR. CLIFFORD: Okay. Thank you.
- Marcia, do you have anything? I'd like to call
- 4 Ms. Patterson next. I'm finished with --
- 5 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Go ahead.
- 6 BY MR. CLIFFORD:
- Q. Ms. Patterson, can you state your name and position with the Commission for the record please?
- 10 A. (Patterson) Rorie Patterson. I'm the Assistant

 11 Director of the Consumer Services and External

 12 Affairs Division.
- 13 Q. And how long have you been in that position?
- A. (Patterson) I began serving in that position in
 April, and prior to that I was a Staff Attorney
 on the Commission's Staff since November of
 2014.
- Q. And I've placed before you Exhibit Number 6, which is the Direct Testimony of Amanda Noonan.
- 20 And do you adopt her testimony as your own?
- 21 A. (Patterson) Yes, I do.
- Q. And you've read and are generally familiar with that testimony?
- 24 A. (Patterson) Yes, I am.

- Q. Can you just briefly recite what the substance of that testimony is for the benefit of the Commissioners?
- A. (Patterson) Sure. As with Mr. Naylor's testimony, the testimony that Ms. Noonan filed that I'm adopting today supported the Company's request to change from monthly billing -- or, quarterly billing to monthly billing, and to change the billing of the customer charge from in-advance to in-arrears, to bill the customer charge on a per diem basis, and to make the due date for payment 25 days as opposed to the 30 days it was previously.
- Q. And, in your position, you're generally supportive of those changes being requested by Aquarion Water?
- 17 A. (Patterson) Yes, I am.
- MR. CLIFFORD: Thank you. Nothing further.
- 20 BY MS. BROWN:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. So, Mr. Dixon, do you have a copy of the Settlement Agreement in front of you?
- 23 A. (Dixon) I do.
- 24 Q. Exhibit 7?

1 A. (Dixon) I do.

6

21

22

23

- Q. And can you describe your involvement with the Settlement Agreement?
- 4 A. (Dixon) I assisted in drafting the Settlement
 5 Agreement.
 - Q. So, you're familiar with the terms?
- 7 A. (Dixon) I am familiar with the terms, yes.
- 8 Q. And are you aware of any changes or corrections
 9 that need to be made to this document?
- 10 A. (Dixon) There are no changes or corrections.
- 11 Q. Okay. Do you have a copy of Exhibit 8 in front
 12 of you, too? That's the colored copy of the
 13 revised tariff pages?
- 14 A. (Dixon) I do.
- Okay. I think it would be helpful if you could turn to Page 4 of the Settlement Agreement, which is a "Summary of the Terms". And, with respect to the first paragraph, can you just briefly describe what Paragraph (1) of the Settlement Agreement Terms is on Page 4?
 - A. (Dixon) Yes. Paragraph (1) talks about our initial request to change the billing for public fire protection from in-advance to arrears. We have withdrawn that request and

- we'll continue to bill public fire protection
 in-advance.
- Q. Okay. So, was that proposed public fire protection, had Aquarion filed a proposed tariff for that?
- A. (Dixon) There was a proposed tariff page that is effectively no longer needed.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. (Dixon) The tariff page will stay as is.
- 10 Q. And is that -- that's why it's not in Exhibit 8
 11 then?
- 12 A. (Dixon) Correct.
- Q. Okay. So, how many customers are affected by that public fire?
- 15 A. (Dixon) Four. Four different towns.
- Q. And how many of those customers participated in this docket?
- A. (Dixon) One, the Town of Hampton. I'd also add
 that the North Hampton Water Commission, while
 they did not participate in the docket, they
 did express their approval of the Company's
 Petition.
- Q. And do you know in what setting they expressed that approval?

- A. (Dixon) It was expressed at a meeting, it was a public meeting for the Town of Hampton.
- Q. Okay. A public meeting that Aquarion also attended?
 - A. (Dixon) We also attended. And I think that position was expressed in the response to the statement of position put out by the Town of Hampton.
- 9 Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, Aquarion filed a -- or,
 10 published the Order of Notice for this
 11 proceeding that noticed that public fire would
 12 be going to in-arrears, correct?
- 13 A. (Dixon) That is correct.

1

2

5

6

7

8

- Q. And how has Aquarion reached out to these customers to let them know that that is no longer to be the case?
- 17 A. (Dixon) Through -- they were attempted to be
 18 reached through direct conversations, Carl -19 [Court reporter interruption.]

20 | CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS:

A. (Dixon) Carl McMorran, our Operations Manager
in New Hampshire, reached out to each of the
towns, left voice messages, as well as sent
e-mails. And no one has responded to any of

1 those attempted communications.

BY MS. BROWN:

- Q. So, is Aquarion satisfied that those customers now know that they won't be switching from in-advance to in-arrears?
- 6 A. (Dixon) Yes.
 - Q. Thank you. Now, if we could go to -- okay.

 The Settlement Agreement discusses going from quarterly to monthly billing. And I just wanted to have you point out on the tariff pages where that occurs and for each customer group or rate group?
 - A. (Dixon) Certainly. On the first page of the exhibit, labeled at the top "Fourth Revised Page 12", there is a column in there, a Per Day charge, as well as a Per Month charge, that show the revised rates. And, under "Terms of Payment", you can see for where it says "for three months in advance" has been stricken and replaced by "monthly in arrears".

I think, and below that, in "Terms of Payment", there are other instances of "quarterly" or "quarter" replaced by "monthly" and "month" or "per month".

- Q. Okay. I'm sorry, did you also point that out on Page Third [Fourth?] Revised 14?
- A. (Dixon) The same changes are made there for private fire service, where the "Per Month" charge has been added. And, then, under "Terms of Payment", there are similar replacements made.
- 8 Q. Thank you. Does Aquarion charge monthly in any 9 of its other affiliates?
- 10 A. (Dixon) We have begun and are probably halfway
 11 through transitioning 195,000 customers in our
 12 Connecticut utility.
- 13 Q. And in what other states does Aquarion serve?
- 14 A. (Dixon) We also serve Massachusetts. We have
 15 not begun a transition to monthly billing there
 16 as of yet.
- Q. And how many customers do you serve in Massachusetts?
- 19 A. (Dixon) Massachusetts is 19,000.
- 20 Q. And, for comparison, New Hampshire is how many?
- 21 A. (Dixon) 9,000.
- Q. And do you have a time frame for when

 Massachusetts will be transitioning to monthly

 billing?

- A. (Dixon) No, we haven't set one at this point.

 There are several other proceedings going on in

 Massachusetts that we're trying to get through

 before we tackle this issue.
 - Q. Okay. Then, again, you said that Connecticut is already a monthly billing?

- 7 A. (Dixon) That's right. Because it's so many
 8 customers that, like I said, 195,000, we're
 9 phasing it in over a few years, hitting
 10 different areas each year. We're about halfway
 11 through.
 - Q. Okay. Now, the relief requested was also concerning the per diem rate or the ability to calculate a service charge on a per diem basis.

 Can you explain on the Revised Page 12 and 14 where that shows up?
 - A. (Dixon) Yes. On Revised Page 12, there is a

 "Per Day" rate that's listed, with an asterisk.

 And that asterisk states "The per day rate is
 based on the annual service charge divided by

 365 calendar days." So, it's reflected there
 on Revised Page 12.
 - Q. Now, were you asked in discovery how customers would be aware of the calculation on a per

1 diem? 2 (Dixon) Yes. There is a -- I believe this is Α. 3 Exhibit Number 9, which is our sample bill. And, on the reverse side of that bill, there's 4 5 an explanation of how that service charge is 6 calculated. 7 Okay. And a question for Ms. Noonan -- sorry, Q.

- Q. Okay. And a question for Ms. Noonan -- sorry,
 Ms. Patterson. Staff has seen this "Service
 Charge" language, correct? In Exhibit -- do
 you have Exhibit 9 in front of you?
- 11 A. (Patterson) No, I don't.
- MS. BROWN: I'm going to provide the witness with a copy of Exhibit 9.
- [Atty. Brown handing document to Witness Patterson.]
- 16 BY MS. BROWN:

8

9

10

- Q. And, Ms. Patterson, I don't know if you've reviewed Aquarion's response to Staff Tech Session 1-1?
- 20 A. (Patterson) I have not.
- Q. Sorry to catch you off guard. Okay. All right.
- 23 A. (Patterson) My understanding is that

 24 Ms. Noonan's testimony that I'm adopting today

 $\{DW 16-123\} \{06-27-16\}$

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

recommended that the notices -- that a notice, a direct mail notice be provided to customers with regard to the change to the billing frequency and the method with which it was done, and that also she recommended that the first bill include -- or, the Company had offered to include also that the first bill include information about that. And my understanding is that the Company is to file or had offered to file its notices with the Consumer Services and External Affairs Division. And my suggestion would be that, to the extent that there's language in the bill that's new, that that should also be reviewed at that time by the Director of that Division. MS. BROWN: And, if I could just make

MS. BROWN: And, if I could just make an offer of proof, that the Company had produced this "Service Charge" language in discovery. But we will certainly be following up with the Staff to make sure that any changes on the bill clarify to customers how this per diem is charged — is calculated, and that Staff is amenable to the bill changes. Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: In terms of
2	chronology, was this document prepared before
3	Staff filed its testimony, or provided to
4	Staff? When was it provided to Staff?
5	MS. BROWN: I think it was
6	provided
7	MR. GEARRALD: Yes, I think it was a
8	tech session response.
9	MR. CLIFFORD: A tech session
10	MS. BROWN: Yes, it was a tech
11	session response, but when was
12	WITNESS DIXON: May 13th.
13	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I heard "May
14	13th", is that right?
15	WITNESS DIXON: That's the date of
16	our response, yes.
17	MS. BROWN: Yes. Okay. So, the tech
18	session, if it occurred May 13th, and the
19	testimony was May 26th, it was after it.
20	But, still, our representation is we
21	won't be changing the bill until Staff has
22	accepted the language.
23	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. Just
24	trying to get an understanding of the

chronology of this document. Because,
unfortunately, it sounds like the Staff's
witness wasn't familiar with it. But I
understand Staff's position and I understand
your representation.

6 BY MS. BROWN:

- Q. Mr. Dixon, I just wanted to move on to cover a few other issues. With respect to the move from the 25 days -- or, the 30 days to the 25 day due date, can you please explain how customers are made aware of that on the tariff pages?
- A. (Dixon) That is listed on the "Terms of Payment" on each of the tariff pages, where it says "Bills are due and payable within 25 days from the postmarked date of the bill."
 - Q. Okay. Now, moving from 25 days -- or, from 30 days to 25 days, does that affect when the late penalty accrues?
- A. (Dixon) No. The late penalty will still occur after 30 days. The move to 25 days was simply to get customers to pay one bill before we issued the next.
- 24 Q. And how is this late penalty calculated?

- A. (Dixon) The late penalty is 5 percent charged against the current charges of the particular bill in question.
- 4 Q. And is that -- that 5 percent is reflected on the tariff sheets?
 - A. (Dixon) It is. On the top of Page -- the second page of the Fourth Revised Page 13, "A penalty of 5 percent will be added to bills which are unpaid 30 days from the postmarked date of the bill."
- 11 Q. Uh-huh. Now, is it fair to say, with Exhibit
 12 8, that these proposed tariff changes are for
 13 this hearing, but that if Staff requests
 14 further changes, that Aquarion will not be
 15 adopting them until it includes any additional
 16 changes from Staff?
- 17 A. (Dixon) That's correct. We'll make any further edits to these as are necessary.
- 19 Q. So, these are -- I guess Exhibit 8 is generally 20 for illustration purposes?
- 21 A. (Dixon) That's correct.

7

8

9

10

Q. Okay. I'd like to have you explain converting to monthly billing. What has to happen in Aquarion's systems?

- A. (Dixon) In our SAP software, we have just a series of various tests that we need to run on our system. We've gone through a lot of the work already to do the conversions, but the testing also takes some additional time. And we can't really proceed on that, with that testing, until there's approval here.
 - Q. So, do you have a guesstimate of the lead time of, once you have approval, when you can finally start implementing monthly billing?
- 11 A. (Dixon) After approval, I would -- an estimate

 12 would be a couple weeks. A little bit

 13 dependent on some of the other changes that are

 14 happening in our system at the moment. But,

 15 absent anything major there, a couple weeks.
 - Q. Mr. Dixon, are any of the changes that are proposed in the tariffs going to change Aquarion's revenue requirement?
- 19 A. (Dixon) No.

9

10

16

17

- 20 Q. And do you believe the Settlement Agreement is a fair and reasonable result?
- 22 A. (Dixon) I do.
- Q. If I could ask Mr. Naylor, you had in your testimony summarized some benefits or listed

some benefits. Could you summarize those for the record, the benefits of monthly billing?

A. (Naylor) I think I generally echoed what the Company had cited with respect to the benefits. Certainly, more frequent usage data is provided to the customer, and that they're aware of, much more frequently than every 90 days, what their usage is, it gives them an opportunity to adjust it.

Certainly, the Company has more frequent data for comparing its production numbers with its consumption numbers. Which, of course, can help with leak detection, a better calculation of unaccounted for water.

Certainly, for the customers, it provides a greater predictability in budgeting control. And, hopefully, also reduces the Company's expenses with respect to collections and uncollectible amounts.

- Q. Thank you. Ms. Patterson, do you have any benefits to add that Mr. Naylor didn't already list?
- A. (Patterson) I think the one benefit that I remember from the testimony is just the

enables the Company to better capture the charges that are associated with each customer based on their billing period, and to also calculate the partial month charges, when there are terminations or connections during the course of the month.

MR. CLIFFORD: So, Ms. Brown has indicated she has no further questions. I just have two of -- one each for Mr. Naylor and Ms. Patterson.

12 BY MR. CLIFFORD:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Mr. Naylor, you have reviewed and are generally familiar with that Settlement Agreement, correct?
- 16 A. (Naylor) Yes.
- 17 Q. And you had a hand in its negotiation and the
 18 terminology that is reflected in that
 19 Agreement, did you not?
- 20 A. (Naylor) Yes.
- Q. And you generally -- you are in support of that
 Agreement, correct?
- 23 A. (Naylor) Yes, I am.
- 24 Q. Okay. Thank you. Ms. Patterson, the same

[WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor~Patterson]

- series of questions. You've read and are
- 2 generally familiar with the Settlement
- 3 Agreement, correct?
- 4 A. (Patterson) Yes, I am.
- Q. And it's your position that you stand in
- 6 support of that Agreement, correct?
- 7 A. (Patterson) Yes, I do.
- 8 MR. CLIFFORD: Okay. Thank you.
- 9 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Gearrald.
- MR. GEARRALD: Thank you. I'll sit
- down. It's better for the mike.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 13 BY MR. GEARRALD:
- 14 Q. Mr. Naylor, do you have your testimony in front
- of you?

12

- 16 A. (Naylor) Yes, I do.
- 17 Q. Exhibit 5, I believe, full exhibit. On Page 4
- of your testimony, you had indicated initially
- 19 Aquarion had requested that there be a working
- 20 capital variance deferral in the amount of the
- 21 "23,169", is that correct?
- 22 A. (Naylor) Yes, it is.
- 23 Q. And, subsequently, through discovery, in
- 24 particular, Staff 2-2, I believe that was

 $\{DW 16-123\} \{06-27-16\}$

- reduced to the amount of "\$22,500"?
- 2 A. (Naylor) I believe that's correct, yes.
 - Q. Yes. And, so, even after that reduction, the Staff did not support this deferral for the reasons that are set forth in your testimony, is that right?
- 7 A. (Naylor) That's right.

3

4

5

6

20

21

22

23

- 8 Q. And those reasons were what?
- 9 (Naylor) Well, generally, we -- I'm not 10 supportive of the concept of accruing expenses 11 that occur between rate cases and seeking 12 recovery of those costs in a future case. 13 Because you could make a case -- I guess a 14 utility could make a case for doing that with 15 lots of different expenses. And there's a 16 certain amount of risk that a utility incurs in 17 operating its business, changes in expenses, 18 changes in revenues, and it's compensated for 19 that risk through its equity return.
 - So, absent extraordinary circumstances, I wouldn't support it.
 - Q. And, specifically regarding the return on equity, your testimony referred to a "Staff Tech Session 1-3, Attachment MAN-1", isn't that

```
1
         correct?
 2
    Α.
         (Naylor) Yes.
 3
         And what did that tech session request reveal?
    Q.
         (Naylor) It indicated that the Company's
 4
    Α.
 5
         earnings, I believe we were looking at the 2015
 6
         year, the Company's earnings are quite strong.
 7
         And its return on equity capital, as
         calculated, was 11.59, which was above its last
 8
9
         granted return on equity of 9.60 in its last
10
         rate case. So, I used that as a further
11
         reason, not the primary reason, but certainly a
12
         reason why the Company is able to absorb any
13
         changes in costs related to working capital.
14
         So, --
15
         Thank you. Mr. Dixon, in terms of that
    Q.
16
         particular aspect of absorption, I have some
17
         questions for you.
18
                         [Atty. Gearrald handing
19
                         documents to Witness Dixon.]
20
                    MR. GEARRALD: And what I've just
21
         handed to Mr. Dixon are three exhibits that are
22
         attached to the testimony of Mr. Welch, which
23
         has been Exhibit -- entered as "Exhibit 4", and
```

I'll refer to those by letter.

BY MR. GEARRALD:

1

2

3

4

5

6

- Q. Mr. Dixon, when the Company first petitioned for this aspect that is now not in the Settlement, the deferral of working capital variance, the working capital amount, as we've just talked about with Mr. Naylor, was "23,169", is that correct?
- 8 A. (Dixon) Yes.
- 9 Q. And, referring to Data Request Hampton 1-4,

 which is Exhibit D to Mr. Welch's testimony,

 Ms. Kirven indicated that, had such a deferral

 been allowed, it would have amounted to an

 annual deferral of that amount, isn't that

 correct?
- 15 A. (Dixon) An annual deferral until the time of the Company's next rate proceeding.
- 17 Q. However many years that would be, isn't that right?
- 19 A. (Dixon) Yes.
- 20 Q. And what has resulted by virtue of the
 21 Settlement, and, in particular, the withdrawal
 22 of the request to render bills for public fire
 23 in arrears, rather than in advance, is that the
 24 new amount of 22,500 not only will not be

```
1
         deferred, but won't -- will not even be
 2
         incurred to the tune of $18,579.68, isn't that
 3
         correct?
 4
                    MS. BROWN: Just can I ask Attorney
 5
         Gearrald where he got that 18,000 figure,
         because I don't see it in the exhibit?
 6
 7
                    MR. GEARRALD: Actually, Exhibit K.
                    MS. BROWN:
                                Thank you.
 8
9
                    MR. GEARRALD: And the exact amount
10
         since the last Hampton Tech Session 1-4,
11
         Exhibit K to Mr. Welch's.
12
    BY MR. GEARRALD:
13
         And I appreciate it, Ms. Brown, because,
    Q.
14
         actually, of the 22,500 in deferral, $18,283
15
         will not be incurred as a result of keeping
16
         public fire in advance, as opposed to in
17
         arrears, isn't that right?
18
    Α.
         (Dixon) Yes, it is.
19
         And, so, the Company will not be suffering
    Q.
20
         approximately 81 percent of that deferral,
21
         isn't that right?
22
         (Dixon) If the deferral were still part of
    Α.
23
         this, 81 percent of it, that 18,579, would act
```

as a reduction to the 22,500, provided public

- fire protection continued to be billed in
 advance.
- Q. And, so, by virtue of it continuing to be
 billed in advance, the Company is not incurring
 that variance in working capital, isn't that
 right?
- 7 A. (Dixon) That is right.
 - Q. In terms of the companies involved, you had laid out, in a Exhibit L to Mr. Welch's testimony, Hampton 3-11, the various entities that are involved, isn't that right?

 [Atty. Gearrald handing document to Witness Dixon.]

14 BY THE WITNESS:

8

9

10

11

12

- 15 A. (Dixon) This reflects the four public fire protection customers.
- 17 BY MR. GEARRALD:
- Q. And those four are the Town of Hampton, the
 Town of North Hampton, and two Rye entities,
 isn't that correct?
- 21 A. (Dixon) Yes. That is correct.
- Q. Now, when the Town of North Hampton expressed its support for the petition for monthly billing, that was, as you've described, at the

[WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor~Patterson] 1 public hearing in Hampton, that was an 2 expression of support overall, rather than as a 3 point-by-point matter, isn't that correct? 4 (Dixon) That's my recollection, yes. Α. 5 MR. GEARRALD: I would just like to 6 make an offer of proof to the Commission that, 7 in addition to the efforts to contact the other two municipal entities that are involved in 8 9 keeping public fire as it is, that I have 10 spoken to Michael Magnant, who is the Town 11 Manager for Rye, which includes the Jenness

District and the Rye Water District, as well as

the two out of the three water commissioners,

Robert Landman and Henry Fuller, for the Town

of North Hampton, and they are in support of

keeping public fire as it is, which is part of

17 the Settlement Agreement.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Did the other municipal entities receive copies of the Settlement? Are they on some sort of any kind of service list that would have given this to them in writing?

them in writing?

12

13

16

18

19

20

21

23

24

MR. GEARRALD: I believe that Mr.

Dixon indicated that they had been sent copies.

1 Isn't that correct, Mr. Dixon? WITNESS DIXON: No. I indicated that 2 3 they had received the initial Petition. 4 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: That's what I 5 heard as well. And I heard that they got 6 e-mails and phone calls. 7 MR. CLIFFORD: Right. MS. BROWN: Right. The Settlement 8 9 Agreement was only distributed among the 10 Parties. We just -- Aquarion just reached out 11 personally to the towns. But it sounds like 12 Mr. Gearrald was more successful in getting a 13 response. 14 MR. GEARRALD: In case there was any 15 concern on the part of the Commissioners with 16 this one item remaining as is, I've spoken to 17 both. 18 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. Under the 19 rules of evidence don't apply here, but we're 20 in many levels of hearsay in this, getting 21 these representations that other entities are 22 aware of what's going on and that they support

of them here, they were made aware of the

what's going on. I guess, without having any

23

1 proceeding in writing, correct? WITNESS DIXON: Correct. 2 3 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And they haven't 4 seen fit to participate, as far as I can tell. 5 I mean, I'm not missing anything in the record, 6 right? 7 MS. BROWN: No. And the full Petition was sent to all of the parties, in 8 9 addition to the publication of the Order of 10 Notice. 11 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. 12 Whether they -- it is nice to hear that there 13 has been outreach, I applaud that. And I'm 14 glad that there doesn't seem to be objection, 15 we're certainly not aware of any. But it also 16 seems like parties or entities that received 17 proper notice of the proceeding aren't 18 participating are kind of stuck with what they 19 get, whether they like it or not. Isn't that 20 about how it shakes out? 21 MS. BROWN: That's how it shakes out. 22 But our concern was, you know, the bills can be 23 And we wanted to at least express to large.

the Commissioners -- the Commission that we had

1 made an attempt to let them know that, because 2 a swing from six months in advance to in 3 arrears was so large, that we notified them. 4 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yes. I quess, I 5 mean, and, as I said, I appreciate the extent 6 those efforts were made. It seems like 7 something in writing probably should have gone to all of them, if that was your -- if that was 8 9 your goal. But, again, it's maybe not all that 10 significant at the end of the day. And, in 11 fact, it's not changing. So, maybe that's the ultimate answer here, is it's not changing. 12 13 So, they were told it might, and that's the 14 last they officially heard. And they have 15 gotten some informal outreach to say "it's not 16 changing". So, maybe that's why nobody is hear saying "all good". 17 18 All right. Mr. Gearrald, do you have 19 any further questions? 20

MR. GEARRALD: Thank you. Yes.

BY MR. GEARRALD:

21

22

23

24

Mr. Dixon, in terms of keeping public fire as Q. being billed in advance, the way it is now, I believe you had answered a data request from

```
the Office of Consumer Advocate indicating that
 1
         this would not be the only example in New
 2
 3
         Hampshire where billing is in advance, correct,
 4
         for public fire?
         (Dixon) We have seasonal customers in New
 5
 6
         Hampshire who receive their service charge
 7
         bills in advance, and that will continue.
         Right. But, in terms of billing a public fire,
 8
    Q.
9
         this is not the only example in this state
10
         where public fire is billed in advance?
11
         (Dixon) Which response were you referring to?
    Α.
12
         Office of Consumer Advocate, I believe.
    Q.
13
                    MS. BROWN: Mr. Gearrald, are you
14
         talking about OCA 1-4?
15
                    MR. GEARRALD: I think I am.
                                                   Thank
16
         you.
17
                    MS. BROWN: You want to show that
18
         to --
19
                    MR. GEARRALD:
                                   Sure.
                    MS. BROWN: -- Mr. Dixon to refresh
20
21
         his recollection.
                    MR. GEARRALD: Yes.
22
23
                         [Atty. Gearrald handing document
24
                         to Witness Dixon.]
```

BY THE WITNESS:

- 2 A. (Dixon) Yes. I see two other entities that are
- 3 billed in advance for public fire.
- 4 BY MR. GEARRALD:
- 5 Q. Thank you. And, finally, Mr. Dixon, the
- 6 Settlement, in Paragraph (3), do you have that
- 7 in front of you?
- 8 A. (Dixon) I do.
- 9 Q. This does reflect that "Aquarion will absorb
- and not defer for later consideration
- [whatever] increased costs for postage and
- 12 IT-related are associated with the request to
- change billing"?
- 14 A. (Dixon) Yes. That's accurate.
- 15 Q. So that these, whatever is incurred, would be
- taken into account going forward after the
- next -- in the next general rate case, correct?
- 18 A. (Dixon) Those costs would be considered at that
- 19 point, yes.
- MR. GEARRALD: Thank you.
- 21 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Cicale, do
- you have any questions?
- MR. CICALE: Just one, Chairman.
- Thank you.

BY MR. CICALE:

- Q. Mr. Dixon, how has the change to monthly billing from quarterly billing and billing in advance to billing in arrears in your Connecticut service area benefited your customers thus far, as you're shifting them over piece-by-piece?
- A. (Dixon) It really -- it points back to those two earlier benefits I mentioned, in terms of the conservation aspect and the leak detection piece. So, that's out there. We haven't heard anything in terms of complaints from our customers at this point. You know, the call center, the phones are not lighting up because there is any discontent from the customers.

 Getting the bills monthly let's them react if they see spikes in their bills, whether it's a leak or whether it's summer usage or that kind of thing.

So, everything about this has been positive to this point.

MR. CICALE: Thank you,
Commissioners. No further questions.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner

1 Scott.

2 CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you.

BY CMSR. SCOTT:

- Q. Mr. Dixon, can you walk me through a little bit? So, if I'm a currently metered customer going how would I transition between, you know, what am I going to receive from the Company, as far as I currently get a quarterly bill, I'm going to get a monthly bill, and I now am going to move from in advance to arrears. So, can you explain exactly what will happen, the transition? So, on X date I got my last quarterly bill. When do I get my next bill? Do you understand where I'm going?
- A. (Dixon) I do. I'm trying to recall if we laid that out in a response, and I don't remember.

MS. BROWN: I'm sorry. We were talking among ourselves. What was the question?

CMSR. SCOTT: Sure. So, what I'm looking at is, for the average -- average metered customer, I'd like Mr. Dixon to kind of just talk me through what I'll receive from the Company. So, on day X, I get my normal

quarterly bill. Now, we're transitioning. So is there a time lag? I just want to understand what a customer will receive next and how that transition will happen.

Because I'm -- frankly, I know I'll follow up this question with some more of the outreach that has been done to the customers, but I'm -- I'm supportive of the changes, but I'm trying to understand how the customers are going to absorb all the nuances here.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. (Dixon) It was interesting, because I drew this on my whiteboard, because it -- just to make sure that we understood it well. And there's a table on the bottom of Page 8 of my testimony that shows four examples. If you had --

[Court reporter interruption.]

CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS:

A. (Dixon) If you had a customer that received their bill in advance in April, they would get a bill for \$46.80. They would not get another bill for a service charge until August, and it would be \$15.60. So, --

BY CMSR. SCOTT:

- 1 Q. And can I stop you there?
- 2 A. (Dixon) Yes.
- Q. So, if there were no change, when would they expect to see the next bill?
- 5 A. (Dixon) They would have gotten their next bill in July, for \$46.80.
- 7 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 8 A. (Dixon) So, three months later.
- 9 Q. Continue. I'm sorry for interrupting you.
- 10 A. (Dixon) So, that's effectively what they would see.
- 12 Q. Okay. So, --
- 13 A. (Dixon) They get a little bit of extra cash
 14 during the summer at the time of the
 15 conversion, because there's sort of a delay in
 16 terms of when they pay the money out again.
- Q. All right. So, one concern would be, if I'm a diligent payer of bills, but I'm not so diligent of paying attention to other things, I could be alarmed because I didn't get my July bill?
- 22 A. (Dixon) That could be the case, yes. That is
 23 true. There would still be a -- so, that is
 24 right. There would be a month where they would

```
not be getting that bill. The next month they
would get the bill for the -- for the
in-arrears service charge, as well as the
usage, since the last bill.
```

- Q. So, I heard two things in testimony. I heard, one, that the towns had been notified, and I understand the exchange between Attorney Brown and yourself. And, then, another, later there was talk of outreach directly to each individual customer?
- 11 A. (Dixon) That hasn't happened yet.

5

6

7

8

9

- 12 Q. Okay. And can you tell me the form of that?

 13 So, when will they receive that?
- 14 A. (Dixon) With a decision here, we would send a

 15 letter to each individual customers, talking

 16 about the changes that would be coming. There

 17 would also be language on the actual bill.

 18 There's a "note" section where -- it's a little

 19 bit compressed, so you can only say so much,

 20 but we'll highlight it there as well.
- Q. But I thought you said they won't see the next bill until --
- 23 A. (Dixon) Well, that's why the letter will come
 24 in advance.

Q. Okay.

- A. (Dixon) But we also know, when they get that first bill, there might be some confusion as to "I'm used to seeing a \$47 service charge, and now I'm seeing \$16." So, the notes on that bill would hopefully reiterate what was seen in the letter.
- Q. Are you doing anything different or planning on doing anything different with your, for want of a better word, your "billing help desk"? You know, the people calling, asking questions, do you expect a higher volume?
- A. (Dixon) We didn't see much in Connecticut.

 Now, Connecticut was a little bit more simple,
 where it was just going from quarterly to
 monthly. There wasn't the "in advance to
 arrears". So, there will be some added
 complexity here. But the initial calls for
 customer service for New Hampshire go directly
 to the New Hampshire personnel. So, they will
 be trained in terms of what, you know, what
 kind of calls they will be getting. But I
 don't expect significant volumes.
- Q. So, the normal expected July billing cycle,

```
when I would expect to get my July, if I'm one
of those ratepayers, when I expect to get my
July bill, am I going to get something from the
Company explaining what's going to happen in
August? Or will the time frame be separated?
Or, it seems like an opportunity there.
```

- A. (Dixon) What I don't know is if, in July, there will be a usage bill. And that's something I just don't know sitting here. And I'm seeing a nod across the room that they will still get a bill for usage and not a service charge.
- 12 Q. Okay. So, that billing should have -- would be a good opportunity for --
- 14 A. (Dixon) It's another opportunity for some notes
 15 on that bill as to what's going on, yes.
- Q. Okay. I'll switch to Mr. Naylor. You were
 questioned about the benefits to changing the
 billing cycle and going to monthly. And, if I
 remember correctly, certainly it helps
 facilitate water conservation and helps
 everybody detect leakage, is that correct?
- 22 A. (Naylor) Yes, it is.

7

8

9

10

11

Q. So, from the original filing, obviously, the public water change has been dropped off. Am I

correct in my preemption that those type of benefits really don't apply to the public water, meaning the fire hydrants?

- A. (Naylor) That's correct. There's public and private fire service charges don't involve any kind of meter reading or, you know, measurement. They're based just strictly on fixed charges. So, the only impact that would result from changing them in-arrears/in-advance, that's the Company's working capital. But there's no other impacts.
- Q. Okay. And, Mr. Dixon, along the same line.

 So, to the extent of what water leakage, if you will, lost water, unbilled water, for those systems, I assume there's other ways you'd see that, I assume?
- A. (Dixon) Yes. The real intent for us going to monthly in arrears for public fire was really to synch up how we bill in New Hampshire with how we bill in our other operations. So, the leakage aspects really don't apply here. We're trying to get our states in line, our testing on our systems in line. And those were the real drivers.

```
CMSR. SCOTT: Okay. That's all I
```

2 have.

3 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner

4 Bailey.

5

BY CMSR. BAILEY:

- Q. Mr. Dixon, when you testified about the late payment charge, I believe you said that "5 percent would apply against current charges"?
- 10 A. (Dixon) Yes.
- 11 Q. Did you mean charges that are late, charges
 12 that haven't been -- because they're not
 13 current charges. I mean, current charges
 14 aren't late yet, right?
- 15 A. (Dixon) Charges beyond the 30 days that had not received a late charge as of yet.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- A. (Dixon) So, what I mean by that, if there was

 still a forward balance from two bills ago,

 they're not going to get the 5 percent again.

 The 5 percent is only applied to the piece that

 just turned 30 days.
- Q. Okay. And, Mr. Naylor, did you say that the return on equity in 2015 was 11.59 percent or

1 was it rate of return?

- A. (Naylor) That was the calculation of their return on equity, based on their earnings in 2015.
- 5 | Q. Okay. Does that seem high to you?
- A. (Naylor) It's higher than what they were last authorized, yes. Yes. Their earnings are strong.
 - Q. Okay. With respect to seasonal customers, Mr. Dixon, you said they would be -- they would continue to be "billed in advance", why is that?
 - A. (Dixon) The seasonal customers are a little bit different, where they pay a service charge in advance for the entire season. And it's a charge based on, really, I think it's five quarters of activity. It's almost, I don't want to call it a "penalty charge", but it's a charge that reflects the demands put on the system by the seasonal customers. So, they pay a little bit more in their service charges. But you don't necessarily know when they're going to remove their meter at the end of the season. So, the intent on those service

```
charges is they pay the entire amount up front.

Then, when they move out, whether it's

September or October, they will pay their usage

at that point. But you effectively want to --

every seasonal customer is going to pay the

same service charge, regardless of how much

time they're actually in service.
```

- Q. Okay. And you also said that Connecticut -- in Connecticut they "didn't convert from in advance to in arrears"?
- 11 A. (Dixon) They didn't convert, because we don't

 12 have any in-advance customers anywhere else,

 13 except for, I believe, some private fire

 14 customers in Massachusetts. But everywhere

 15 else our billings are all in arrears for

 16 service charges.
- 17 Q. The private fire customers are?

8

9

- A. (Dixon) In Massachusetts, there was one subset
 of customers that, for some reason or another,
 gets an advance charge. It's a small cluster
 of customers. But we don't have any in-advance
 billings in Connecticut.
- Q. Okay. With respect to the in-advance public fire charge here, does that create any

difficulty with your billing system?

- A. (Dixon) We're essentially keeping it the same as it is. So, there's no problem there. The challenge we have is that one of the reasons we wanted to convert it was that any time we make any changes into our system on the billing side, we have to go through some rigorous testing. So, to the extent that we have various methods of billing, you have to test that method. So, for us, it would have been nicer to convert everybody to in-arrears, so we didn't have to go through a separate testing routine. But that that would be the only impact.
- Q. And an advantage might be that it reduces your working capital by billing in advance, correct?
- A. (Dixon) It definitely took that piece that we were looking to defer, it reduced it. You know, as I think Mr. Gearrald said, it was 81 percent of the total we were looking to defer.

CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: My questions

were all related to notice and notifying

```
[WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor~Patterson]
 1
         customers of what was going on. So, my
 2
         questions have been answered.
 3
                    Ms. Brown, do you have any further
 4
         questions?
                    MS. BROWN: Yes, just a few.
 5
 6
                     REDIRECT EXAMINATION
 7
    BY MS. BROWN:
         Mr. Dixon, for the Hampton system -- or, New
 8
9
         Hampshire system, do the bills go out in
10
         batches? I guess, to rephrase that, not all
11
         9,000 customers are billed at one time,
12
         correct?
13
         (Dixon) Oh, that's correct. Yes.
14
         So, with Commissioner Scott's questions about
15
         whether a bill that had a note on it informing
16
         people, would that still be effective to the
17
         portion of customers receiving it in a
18
         particular batch prior to the actual
19
         conversion?
20
         (Dixon) It will still be effective to put that
         communication on there.
21
22
```

- Q. Do you know how many customers are billed in any particular batch?
- 24 A. (Dixon) I do not know the numbers, if they're

1 equal or not.

- Q. Okay. Just thought I'd ask. You were asked a question about whether there were any changes or impacts from implementing monthly billing in Connecticut. And I thought you had referred to collect -- did you respond with collections, and whether there's a positive impact with monthly billing on collections?
- A. (Dixon) We haven't seen it yet. But part of that is because our collections track record in Connecticut is so good that -- that I'm not -- I'm not aware of a significant change there.
- Q. Okay. You were asked a question about the penalty and how it would be calculated from Commissioner Bailey. And we have a discovery response, and I just wanted to show it to you to see if you wanted to add anything further to your explanation to Commissioner Bailey.

[Atty. Brown handing document to Witness Dixon.]

BY THE WITNESS:

A. (Dixon) So, part (b) of the response, "The 5 percent is based on each individual bill. The penalty is only assessed on the current

```
1
         services rendered." So, that's what I was
 2
         trying to get at, in terms of that there wasn't
 3
         a penalty on penalty or a penalty on a piece
         that had already been assessed a penalty. This
 4
 5
         is what that's referring to.
                   MS. BROWN: Okay. I just wanted to
 6
 7
         make sure that Commissioner Bailey's question,
         that she fully understood how the penalty was
 8
         calculated.
9
10
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Brown, do
11
         you want to mark that as an exhibit?
12
                   MS. BROWN: I am happy to. If I
13
         could, I think this is "Exhibit 10".
14
                   MS. DENO: That's correct.
15
                         (The document, as described
16
                         subsequently, was herewith
17
                         marked as Exhibit 10 for
18
                         identification.)
19
                   MS. BROWN: What I've just --
20
         actually, I'll just have the witness.
21
    BY MS. BROWN:
22
         Mr. Dixon, can you please identify Exhibit 10
23
         for the record?
24
         (Dixon) Exhibit 10 is the Company's response to
    Α.
```

[WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor~Patterson]

1 Staff 1-4. It's a question about how the 2 penalty of 5 percent is added to bills. 3 And who was the witness? Q. 4 (Dixon) The witness was Debra Kirven. Α. 5 And do you adopt this as your response? Q. 6 (Dixon) Yes, I do. Α. 7 Are you aware of any changes or corrections to 0. 8 this? 9 (Dixon) No. No corrections. Α. 10 And can you please just summarize how the Q. 11 penalty is calculated? 12 Α. (Dixon) The penalty is calculated for bills 13 that are unpaid after 30 days, the 5 percent is 14 added to the unpaid amounts. And it's only 15 assessed that one time on those amounts. 16 MS. BROWN: Okay. Thank you for that 17 clarification. I have no further questions for 18 redirect. Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Clifford, do 20 you have any further questions for your 21 witnesses? 22 MR. CLIFFORD: No. I just have one

other, one or two questions for the Company witness, Mr. Dixon, if I may?

23

65

1 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** BY MR. CLIFFORD: 2 3 Mr. Dixon, you mentioned earlier that you're 0. employing "SAP software", right? 4 (Dixon) "S-A-P software". 5 "S-A-P software"? 6 Q. 7 (Dixon) Yes. Α. 8 So, that is the same software that's currently 9 being used in your other states, correct? 10 (Dixon) Yes. Α. 11 So, this is -- and you mentioned I think the 0. 12 transition would take just a matter of a couple 13 of weeks. So, I just wanted to be clear, that 14 you weren't using some new software to --(Dixon) No new software. It's just changing 15 Α. 16 the billing routines. 17 MR. CLIFFORD: Okay. Thank you. 18 have not further questions. 19 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. 20 There's nothing further for the witnesses. 21 I assume there's no objection to 22 striking ID on Exhibit 10? 23 [No verbal response.] CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Seeing none, 24

 $\{DW 16-123\} \{06-27-16\}$

that's a full exhibit.

I think all that's left to do is for the Parties to sum up. Mr. Gearrald, why don't you go first.

MR. GEARRALD: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. I am pleased to support the
Agreement as has been provided. The Agreement
eliminates some major concerns that I outlined
to the Commission at the prehearing conference.
By virtue of keeping public fire protection
service where it is for the four customers
billed twice a year, we not only avoid the
problem with our budgeting and the timing of
the same, but we also avoid the incurrence by
the Company both now, whether deferred or not,
and in the future, of whether -- of creating a
working capital variance for 81 percent of the
amount that was sought to be deferred.

The Company continues to absorb and not defer for later consideration the increased cost for postage and IT-related costs. We appreciate that, too.

And the Company has also agreed not to seek recovery of attorneys fees associated

with this proceeding, but acknowledges that attorneys fees for regulatory matters in general are included in whatever revenue requirement is considered in the next general rate case.

So, we appreciate the fact that the Company is going to attempt to educate customers in letters and notes about these changes. I think the Commission is sensitive to the fact that this can be confusing. And I believe efforts are going to be made to mitigate that with the customers.

So, on the whole, we support the Settlement Agreement and urge the Commission to adopt it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Cicale.

MR. CICALE: The Office of Consumer
Advocate is fully in support of the Settlement
Agreement. We recognize that any change to
billing to customers can be shocking at times,
and not everyone embraces change the same way
as others do or as quickly as others do. But
this type of change really will assist
customers. And we believe that the customers

will adapt swiftly. And that this change in the Company's billing practices is more efficient, provides and promotes conservation and better price signals. And it will save customers money, because they will be able to identify leaks in the system sooner and faster, and any kind of trouble with metering, or anything with the Company's equipment or infrastructure that can be identified quicker.

And, for that, the Office of Consumer Advocate again fully supports it. And thank you for your time today, Commissioners.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Clifford.

MR. CLIFFORD: The Commission Staff supports this Settlement Agreement. We believe for the reasons stated both by the Town and by the Office of Consumer Advocate, that this is a more efficient system. It's been found to be in use in other water systems throughout the country. We believe it will more closely tie usage to results, will result in savings in terms of leak detection, tying the billing directly to a usage.

And that the Company has, in fact,

decided not to seek recovery for its attorneys fees, which are permitted, agreed to defer the working capital.

And we think that, given that no party got exactly what they wanted, and that this is a full and fair negotiation, we support this as well.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Brown.

MS. BROWN: Not much left for me to say. I concur with the closings of OCA and Staff. There are multiple benefits that will inure to this changing to monthly billing. We respectfully request that the Commission approve the Settlement and grant the monthly billing authority as soon as possible.

If possible, if the Commission -Commissioners rule from the Bench, at least the
Company can get its software going and
implement monthly billing before the summer
escapes us.

And, with that, again, thank you for your consideration of the requests.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I heard a request to "rule from the Bench", did I not?

1 MS. BROWN: You did. Followed up with a written order. But it would at least 2 3 give a signal to the Company that it can start 4 getting its software up to speed, to launch it 5 as soon as that order is issued and catch the 6 next batch of customers. It was a request. 7 That's all. 8 Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And a request, 10 the first time this request was made was orally 11 right here, right? There was no prior written 12 request for that relief, was there? 13 MS. BROWN: Correct. 14 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're going to 15 take a short recess. 16 (Recess taken at 11:29 a.m. and 17 the hearing resumed at 11:33 18 a.m.) 19 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Brown, we're 20 not going to grant the request from the Bench. 21 We understand the request and we understand the 22 reasons for it. We're going to have a further 23 discussion, without making you all hang around.

And, if we're able to issue something very

```
quickly, we will. There are any number of ways
 1
         we might be able to proceed very quickly, and
 2
 3
         we will do what we can.
                    Otherwise, we will adjourn and issue
 4
 5
          an order on the merits as quickly as we can.
         Thank you all.
 6
 7
                          (Whereupon the hearing was
                         adjourned at 11:34 a.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```